NH Towns' Wetland Buffer Requirements - towns wishing to be added to this list, see contact info at end AND DISCLAIMER

| Town | Conservation Contact | Buffer Information |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Acworth | Debbie Hinman | Shoreland buffer but no wetland buffer |
| Amherst | John Harvey | No structure within 50' of any wetland, with a naturally vegetated buffer of 25'. Naturally vegetated |
| | | buffer of 100' from the edge of 44 "water resource" wetlands. Did Prime Wetland assessment but |
| | | opted to name 44 obvious wetlands rather than go pure PW route. Use State wetlands definition |
| Andover | | On NHDES list of towns with prime wetlands |
| Antrim | | 25' "no construction or ground disturbance" |
| Atkinson | Scott Kukshtel, chair ConCom | 100' building & septic setback; exploring 150' buffer for designated wetlands (on NHDES list of |
| | | towns w. prime wetlands so probably passed). Can't count wetlands in minimum lot size |
| | | determination. |
| Auburn | Jarlene Cornett / rrcorn@comcast.net | 125' setback; functional analysis by wetlands scientist can cut distance to 75', but absolutely |
| | | no disturbance 0'-75'. <u>Vernal pools</u> get unflinching 125' buffer. |
| Barrington | John Wallace 664-5974 | 50' buffer required for structures from any wetland. 100' buffer recommended in a Shoreland |
| | | Overlay Zone and for Isinglass River Zone. On NHDES list of towns with prime wetlands |
| Bedford | Nancy Larson 472-8104 | 50' from all wetlands for all structures; 75' septic. Looking into increasing buffer protection. |
| Boscawen | Michele Tremblay 796-2615 | On steep grades, up to 200' buffer. Town is revising its master plan and hopes to improve the |
| | | buffers for wetlands and surface waters, which are now same as State. |
| Bow | Nancy Rheinhardt, 225-3910 | 30-150' buffer range. 150' on 8 Prime Wetlands (no logging). One of few towns to address vernal |
| | | pools. Must maintain 50' buffer. 30' buffer if wetland <1/4 acre. Have 'Do not disturb' |
| | | markers that must be placed along buffer boundary for any new subdivision. Septic setbacks |
| 7 12 1 | | vary from 125' to 100' to 75' depending on conditions. No buffer for drainage swales. |
| Bradford | Ann Eldridge; John Robie 938-5266 | No buildings 100' from very poorly drained soils and 50' from poorly drained; 75' septic setback. |
| Brentwood | | 100' from very poorly drained; 50' from poorly drained. On NHDES list of towns w. prime |
| D 111 | 77 17 670 1011 | wetlands |
| Brookline | Betty Hall 673-4841 | 50' buffer from all wetlands. Two septic setbacks: 125' where soil type is coarse-textured with |
| G 11 | | rapid to very rapid permeability; 75' for all others. On NHDES list of towns with prime wetlands. |
| Candia | | On OEP list as having buffer ordinance |
| Charlestown | | On OEP list as having buffer ordinance |
| Chichester | | On OEP list as having buffer ordinance |
| Claremont | Norman Wakeman 542-5267 | 500' setback from CT River; otherwise follow State regulations. |
| Concord | | "minimum" 50' buffer on wetlands >3,000 s.f. – to remain "in its natural condition" |
| Danville | | 100' from very poorly drained; 75' from poorly drained |
| Deerfield | | 100' bldg. setback for new subdivision; 75' for existing lots; 100' septic/leach field setback; can't |
| | | use very poorly drained soils to satisfy minimum lot size calculation |
| Deering | | On OEP list has having buffer ordinance |
| Derry | Paul Dionne | For wetlands <1 acre, 30' buffer; for > 1 acre, 75' buffer. For 28 designated Prime Wetlands, 150' |
| | | no build buffer and 75' no disturbance. Aquifer ordinance prohibits >50% nonporous surface. |

| Town | Conservation Contact | Buffer Information |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Dover | Tom Fargo | 50' setback from State defined wetlands. |
| Dublin | | 50' wetland buffer; 100' septic setback |
| Dunbarton | Margaret Watkins 774-7120 | 125' buffer from Great Ponds and adjacent wetlands. |
| Durham | Jim Campbell | 50' setback from poorly drained soils and a 75' setback from very poorly drained. Currently |
| | • | rewriting ordinance likely to move to a 100' setback |
| East Kingston | | On OEP list as having buffer ordinance |
| Effingham | | On OEP list as having buffer ordinance |
| Enfield | | On NHDES list of towns with prime wetlands |
| Epping | | 50' from very poorly drained; 25' from poorly drained |
| Exeter | Don Clement 728-0238 | 25' no cut, no disturbance; 75' no construction; and 100' from Prime Wetlands |
| Farmington | | On OEP list as having buffer ordinance |
| Fitzwilliam | Jody Hartwell, Town Office 585-7791 | 75' in wetlands overlay district |
| Francestown | | 100' no clear-cutting, fertilizers/pesticides/toxics, septic system, snow dumps |
| Fremont | John Whiteside 679-5443 | 200' from very poorly drained; 100' from poorly drained; On NHDES list of towns with prime |
| | | wetlands |
| Gilford | | On NHDES Prime Wetlands list |
| Gilmanton | Nanci Mitchell 528-0776 | 75' building setback from waterbodies and 50' from wetlands & streams but disturbance (lawns, |
| | Nancirae@worldpath.net | paving) allowed within buffer. Septic 125' from waterbodies; 75' from wetlands, streams. |
| Goffstown | Collis Adams | 50' no-build / no grade change setback on wetlands >2,000 s.f. and any wetland contiguous to |
| | www.town.goffstown.nh.us | waterbody; 100' on wetlands >20,000 s.f For residential subdivision (non-residutial handled by |
| | | site plan review). On NHDES Prime Wetlands list. |
| Grantham | | Shore Land/River Overlay 75' bldg. setback; 150' Woodland Buffer |
| Greenfield | | On OEP list as having buffer ordinance |
| Greenland | Dan Kern | 50' buffer |
| Hancock | Bill Elliott 525-4967 | Buffer on waterbodies (100' Contoocook R.; 50' great ponds; 25' other streams). 125' septic setback |
| | | from all wetlands; 25% of minimum lot size (4 Ac in most of town) can be satisfied by wetlands. |
| Hampton | | On OEP list as having buffer ordinance |
| Hampstead | | On OEP list as having buffer ordinance |
| Hampton Falls | Tracy Healey-Beattie 778-7664 | 100' buffer on surface waters and wetlands. On NHDES list of towns with prime wetlands |
| Hanover | Vicki Smith 643-0708 | 75' from wetlandsno structures, no parking; 125' leach field setback from any wetland (use Army |
| | | Corps methodology). River: same provisions as for wetlands. ZBA may approve buffer intrusions. |
| | | They monitor cutting of trees; no fertilizers allowed as lawn maintenance does great harm to water. |
| | | Enforcement is a problem: need to make everyone aware of the rules. Use State Definition. |
| Hebron | | On OEP list as having buffer ordinance |
| Holderness | | Recognize Prime Wetlands; 125 septic setback |
| Hollis | Peter Baker 465-7981 | 100' buffer regulations around all wetlands and surface waters. Wetlands, waterbodies, steep slopes |
| | | (>25%) can't be counted in minimum lot size determination. Master Plan Ids 21 areas of potential |
| | | Prime Wetland status to be given special protection. (Good language on treatment of existing lots.) |

| Town | Conservation Contact | Buffer Information |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Hooksett | | 75' buffer for 21 Prime Wetlands; 100' buffer for 4 "wetlands of special concern"; 25' buffer and |
| | | 40' bldg. setback for wetlands > 1 acre |
| Hopkinton | Ron Klemarczyk | rivers: 75'; wetlands: case-by-case |
| Hudson | Sean Sullivan, Comm'y Devel. Dir. | 50' "zone of noninterference" from wetland & surface water edge; grading by ZBA spec. exception |
| Jaffrey | Alex Gutterman 532-8526 | 75' on all wetlands |
| Laconia | Dawn Emerson and Stephanie Verdile | 75' from Prime Wetlands; 50' on non-prime wetlands contiguous to public waters and named and lettered brooks; and 30' outside the boundary of any other wetland. Wetland buffer maintenance provision: "Buffers shall be retained and maintained in their natural condition." Planning Board has new subdivisions mention buffer protection in deeds. And can require "more extensive buffers." Septic 125', 100', and 75' depending on conditions (soil and slope). |
| Lee | | 75' buffer |
| Littleton | | 100' buffer from Ammonoosuc R. in commercial zone; 125' septic setback from waterbodies |
| Londonderry | | On OEP list as having buffer ordinance |
| Loudon | Julie Robinson 798-4541 | 75' buffer >2,000 s.f. wetlands and all shoreland and vernal pools; 25' absolutely undisturbed. No pesticides/fertilizers in 75' buffer. |
| Lyme | Vicky Davis 795-4639 | 100' all wetlands; 200' great ponds & Ct. River. Wetlands & waterbodies can't be used to satisfy minimum lot size requirement. Strongest regulations in NH! Ordinance developed in 80s, supported by townspeople. Planning Board responsive to unintended consequences; pays close attention to agricultural soils. |
| Londonderry | Timothy J. Thompson, AICP (source) | 50' around unnamed wetlands greater than 1/2 acre, 100' around named wetlands. |
| Madbury | | On OEP list as having buffer ordinance |
| Madison | | On OEP list as having buffer ordinance |
| Manchester | | 25' |
| Meredith | | On NHDES list of towns with Prime Wetlands |
| Merrimack | Gary Gagne 424-1412 | 25' wetlands; 40' building setback |
| Milford | Diane Fitzpatrick 672-1070 | 25' buffer from any wetland and waterbody; 50' from certain designated waterbodies and adjacent wetlands; 100' from peatlands: no structures, preserve natural vegetation. |
| Mont Vernon | Tevis Baier 654-9738 | 25' buffer from all wetlands except vernal pools. 16-yr. old master plan is being rewritten asking for 125' setbacks from wetlands. |
| Moultonboro | | Details unknown |
| Nashua | | 75' from certain named and primary wetlands; 40' from named "critical wetlands"; 20' from all others including vernal pools PLUS 25' no-build setback in addition to naturally vegetated buffers listed above. Wetlands cannot satisfy minimum lot size; plus performance standards for 125' zone including no septic. On NHDES list of towns with Prime Wetlands |
| New Boston | Cyndie Wilson 487-5036 | Town requires two contiguous acres of dry land for a building lot. Their wetland/surface water regulations are up for revision. |
| New Castle | | On OEP list as having buffer ordinance |
| New Durham | Bob Craycraft, Plan. Board 859-2866 | 2007 approved vegetated buffers + setbacks on wetlands, waterbodies. Varying widths specified. |

| Town | Conservation Contact | Buffer Information |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Newbury | | On OEP list as having buffer ordinance |
| Newfields | | 75' from very poorly drained; 50' from poorly drained |
| Newington | | 75' wetlands buffer; On NHDES list of towns with Prime Wetlands |
| New Ipswich | | 50' building setback from wetlands & ponds; 25' buffer on streams; '05 will vote to apply 25' |
| | | buffer to wetlands & ponds. Working on prime wetlands designation (2006) |
| New London | | 100' buffer from "significant wetlands" based on NWI mapping; 3 designated Prime Wetlands w. 200' buffer; 100' and 75' septic setbacks depending on soils. Limited % of wetlands can be used to satisfy minimum lot size. 300' shoreland buffer on 8 named ponds includes 50' building setback |
| Newington | | On NHDES list of towns with prime wetlands |
| Newmarket | | 50' from VPD; 25' from PD; Have Prime Wetlands language (75' no disturbance; 100' bldg. |
| 1 to willarket | | setback; 125' septic setback) but as yet, no designated Prime Wetlands. |
| North Hampton | | 100' buffer from all wetlands |
| Northfield | | On OEP list as having buffer ordinance |
| Northwood | | On NHDES list of towns with Prime Wetlands |
| Nottingham | | 75' from VPD; 50' from PD |
| Pelham | Deborah Waters – birches@adelphia.net | 50' buffer; looked into tiered approach that was defeated by developer lobby; inserted bldg. |
| | | envelope concept including septic AND lawn into subdiv. regs. that accomplished same protection. |
| | | On NHDES list of towns with Prime Wetlands |
| Peterborough | Francie Von Mertens 924-6550 | 50' buffer no disturbance from wetlands >½ acre. Shoreland Conservation District 100' from |
| | | surface waters. Expansion of wetland buffer to 100' defeated by '04 town vote, but planning board |
| | | supportive, will make a few adjustments and try again. |
| Plaistow | | On OEP list as having buffer ordinance |
| Portsmouth | Donald Coker (source) | 100' wetlands buffer zone setback from wetlands over 20,000 s.f. |
| Richmond | | On OEP list as having buffer ordinance |
| Rindge | | "Zone of min. disturbance" 50' from wetlands >3,000 s.f.; and 150' from surface waters; 100' |
| | | septic setback. No snow dumps within 250' of wetlands/waterbodies. |
| Rochester | George Bailey ConCom chair | 25' no alteration buffer on wetlands >½ acre; 50' on all vernal pools . 75' shoreland buffer on 3 |
| | See www.rochesternh.net | rivers; 50' on named streams/waterbodies. VPD soils can't count toward minimum lot area or |
| | | density requirements in any zoning district. |
| Rye | | On OEP list as having buffer ordinance |
| Salem | Bill Valentine, ConCom | 40' setback, 25' undisturbed. 100' undisturbed buffer for Prime Wetlands. VPD soils cannot be used |
| | | to fulfill minimum lot size; and PD soils can only make up 25% of minimum size; 75' septic setback |
| Sanbornton | | On NHDES list of towns with Prime Wetlands |
| Sandown | | On OEP list as having buffer ordinance |
| Sandwich | Chip Kimball 284-6506 | 100' and 125' from high watermark; On NHDES list of towns with Prime Wetlands |
| Sharon | Liz LaRose 924-4400 | 75' bldg. setback and natural buffer; septic 100' setback; high-impact livestock 300'; 50% wetlands |
| | www.sharonnh.org ("documents") | subtracted from minimum lot size calculation |
| Somersworth | | All development within 100' of wetlands for structures shall be subject to review as a conditional |
| | | use to insure adequate protection of the values and functions of the wetlands. |

| Town | Conservation Contact | Buffer Information |
|--------------|---|--|
| Springfield | | On OEP list as having buffer ordinance |
| Stoddard | Sandy Sherman | 50' no-build wetland setback |
| Strafford | Liz Evans | State shoreland protection provisions extended in '03 to 9 named ponds/rivers as local regulation; "No portion of a wetlands shall be used in calculating minimum lot size "; ledge and steep slopes (>25%) can't be used either; septic setback dependent on slope is 100' (0-8% slope), 150' (9-15% slope), 200' (>15% slope). |
| Sullivan | Ann Sweet 847-3463 | 50' passed 3/04 (modeled on Dublin's code); 100' septic setback |
| Sutton | | Buffer 50' from all wetlands <10,000 s.f.; 75' on larger wetlands and all vernal pools; 100' from rivers/streams "in public waterbody watershed" |
| Swanzey | | 125' septic setback; 100' wetlands buffer; wetlands cannot be used to satisfy minimum lot size |
| Tamworth | John Mersfelder 323-7793 | 125' septic from surface waters (hydric A soils); 75' from wetlands (hydric B soils). No construction within 25' of limits of a wetland. If rare, threatened or endangered species, or a bog: 300', unless developer can prove no adverse impact. On NHDES list of towns with Prime Wetlands |
| Wakefield | | On OEP list as having buffer ordinance |
| Walpole | Harold Putnam | 12/03 completed Wetland Inventory and Prime Wetland Designation Project. ConCom to pursue formal Prime Wetland designation for some selected wetlands at Town Meeting '05 |
| Weare | | On NHDES list of towns with Prime Wetlands |
| Webster | Betsy Janeway 746-3818 | 50' buffer |
| Westmoreland | Jim Blake / jblake8861@aol.com | 75' buffer; reportedly looking into Prime Wetlands |
| Wilton | - | On OEP list as having buffer ordinance |
| Windham | Cherie Howell 432-1537 | 100' from wetlands >1 acre (depending on slope can extend to 200'); vernal pool s >500 s.f. 25' buffer; no septic within buffer. "Wetland and Watershed Protection District" |
| Wolfeboro | Rob Houseman, wolftwnplnr@metrocast.net | 75' VPD; 50' PD for <u>all</u> wetlands. Looking to implement value system that would rank wetlands 1-5, with 5 off limits (vernal pools), and 1 allowing impacts up to a certain square footage. CT has some guidelines for this, but NH towns don't. Problem is too many special use permits are being applied for under present system owing to too many pre-existing nonconforming lots. On NHDES list of towns with Prime Wetlands |

This chart was begun by Betsy Janeway, Webster, added onto by Chris Parker, Dover, and now Francie Von Mertens, Peterborough. Accuracy/completeness not guaranteed. Thru various list serves a call went out in 2004 for information from town ConComs and Planning Boards.

Responses herewith.

Since then, occasional updates and additions have been sent in.

This does not represent in any way a thorough inventory of town wetland/shoreland ordinances.

Send updates/corrections/additions to wormertens@myfairpoint.net / 924-6550

January 2010