Spring 2022 Planning & Zoning Conference

Planning Board Basics

Presented by:

Stephen Buckley, NHMA Legal Services Counsel

April 30, 2022



THE RIGHT-TO-KNOW Law & Public Meetings

The Planning Board is a Public Body

- Meetings require notice, minutes, and public access
 - \rightarrow "Work sessions" are meetings
- Hearings have additional requirements



MINUTES

- Kept and made available upon request within 5 business days
- Include members present, people participating, summary of subject matter and decisions made, and, stating persons making and seconding motions





Consultation with Legal Counsel RSA 91-A:2, I(b)

- Counsel must be present
- Contemporaneous dialogue
- Non-meeting

- Consideration of Legal Advice RSA 91-A:3, II(l)
- Legal advice previously given
- Orally or in writing
- To one or more members
- Legal counsel doesn't need to be present
- Nonpublic session



COMMUNICATIONS OUTSIDE A MEETING



No electronic meetings! Don't hit reply all

- No "meetings" by email string
- Public bodies may only deliberate in properly held meetings

May occur accidentally



Site Walks and the Right to Know Law



- Site walks are public meetings
- Minutes must be kept and the public has the right to attend.
- The property owner must consent to allow board members and the public to visit the site, if that consent not given, the site visit must be canceled.
- Individual members could visit sites, but not with other members.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST & DISQUALIFICATION

Land Use Boards, RSA 673:14, I

No member of a . . . planning board . . . shall participate in deciding or shall sit upon the hearing of any question which the board is to decide in a judicial capacity if that member direct personal has a or pecuniary interest in the outcome which differs from the interest of other citizens, or if that member would be disqualified for any cause to act as a juror upon the trial of the same matter in any action at law. Reasons for disqualification do not include exemption from service as a juror or knowledge of the facts involved gained in the performance of the member's official duties.



The Juror Standard

500-A:12 Examination. -

I. Any juror may be required by the court, on motion of a party in the case to be tried, to answer upon oath if he:

(a) Expects to gain or lose upon the disposition of the case;

(b) Is related to either party;

- (c) Has advised or assisted either party;
- (d) Has directly or indirectly given his opinion or has formed an opinion;

(e) Is employed by or employs any party in the case;

(f) Is prejudiced to any degree regarding the case; or

(g) Employs any of the counsel appearing in the case in any action then pending in the court.

II. If it appears that any juror is not indifferent, he shall be set aside on that trial,

Prejudgment

Winslow v. Holderness, 125 N.H. 262 (1984). A member was disqualified where, prior to joining the planning board, he had spoken, in his private capacity, in favor of the project at a public hearing on the subdivision application.

Case Studies - Webster v. Candia, 146 N.H. 430 (2001)

- A planning board member was not disqualified when his spouse was a leading opponent of the project in an earlier phase.
- The other allegation of alleged bias was that the planning board member had prepared a document he brought to a hearing titled "Reasons to Deny Libbee Road Cluster Subdivision."
- That document was not evidence of "prejudgment," but of judgment exercised at the appropriate time and place. Nor was it evidence of "bias."



Case Studies - W. Robert Foley, Trustee v. Enfield

- The day before the ZBA met to consider a rehearing request, the chair e-mailed PlanLink asking, "Should the board members consider precedents when deciding their position on a case?"
- The chair received replies from municipal employees and zoning board members in other communities.
- The ZBA denied the rehearing request the day after the chair's e-mail on the ground that granting the requested variance would violate the spirit of the ordinance by promoting overcrowding. This was the same reason the majority of the ZBA, the chair included, gave for denying the variance when it voted over one month earlier. The Court concluded that the plaintiff failed to demonstrate that he suffered any prejudice as a result of the online communications by the ZBA Chair.

Case Studies - Z-1 Express v. Manchester

- Application pending before planning board for a conditional use permit for a gas station convenience store.
- After the final evidentiary hearing, but before the board deliberated and made final decision, two board members voiced opposition to the project on a social media site established by residents opposing the project.
- At a subsequent meeting one of the members who voiced opposition on social media was asked to recuse himself, he refused and he later voted to deny the application.
- The Court ruled that the member's failure to enter into and participate in deliberations with an open mind threatened the integrity of the deliberative process undermining public trust in the overall function of the planning board.
- The Court applied the Winslow v. Holderness rule and vacated the decision and remanded the matter back to the planning board.





Planning Board Fundamental Statutes

Planning Board Applicable Statutes

- ✓ Duties of the Planning Board RSA 674:1
- ✓ Master Plan RSA 674:2
- ✓ Capital Improvements Program RSA 674:5
- ✓ Zoning Ordinance & Amendments 675:3
- ✓ Subdivision regulation 674:36
- ✓ Site Plan regulation 674:44
- ✓ Workforce Housing RSA 674:58-:61
- ✓ Roads & Driveway regulation 236:13
- ✓ Merged Lots RSA 674:39-a
- ✓ Offsite Exactions RSA 674:21 (V)(j)
- ✓ Scenic Roads RSA 231:157 :158



25

RSA 674:1 - Duties of the Planning Board

- Adopt, update and promote Master Plan
- Attend municipal planning conferences
- Authorized to enter private property with consent to conduct surveys
- No regulation of timber harvesting unless incident to subdivision or site plan review.

Master Plan: RSA 674:1 - :4

Mandatory Section:

- "Vision" (goals and objectives): statements to "articulate the desires of the citizens," including set of "guiding principles and priorities"
- Land use: studies of population, economic activity, resources, shows existing conditions and proposed future land uses
- Prerequisites for zoning ordinance, RSA 674:18

Optional Sections: 14 optional elements

Capital Improvements Program

- Aid with budgeting decisions
- Not a basis to deny subdivision application Zukis v. Fitzwilliam, 135 N.H. 384 (1992)
- Prerequisite for:
 - Impact fees
 - Growth
 Management



Zoning Amendments RSA 675:3

- Planning Board proposes initial zoning adoption
- Planning Board & Select Board may propose amendments
- At least one public hearing by Planning Board
- Another hearing 14 days later if proposal substantively modified
- Deliver final proposal to town clerk 5th Tuesday before town meeting
- Petitioned Amendments RSA 675:4, Planning Board states approval or disapproval on ballot

Subdivision & Site Plan **Approval**

SUBDIVISION:

- Require preliminary review of subdivisions.
- Approve plats and plans.
- Approval showing streets.
- Approval showing utility facilities & services.

SITE PLAN:

- Municipality must have zoning.
- Planning board has adopted subdivision regulations.
- Board may approve site plans \checkmark for the *development or* change or expansion of use for nonresidential uses or for multi-family dwelling units (structures with >2 dwelling) units)

Workforce Housing Statute RSA 674:58-:61

- Allow workforce housing in a majority of the land area zoned residential.
- ✓ Does municipality have its fair share of workforce housing?
- Requires interactive process to resolve complaint by developer that conditions of approval make the housing project no longer affordable, board must reasonably consider modifying those conditions.
- On appeal aggrieved affordable housing developer can argue that municipality is not complying with the workforce housing requirements of RSA 674:59 or that the conditions or restrictions of approval otherwise violate such requirements.

Innovative Land Use RSA 674:21

- An innovative land use control when supported by the master plan.
- Administration through conditional or special use permits, by the planning board, board of selectmen, zoning board of adjustment, or such other person or board as the ordinance may designate.
- If planning board does not administer permits, planning board shall review prior to final consideration by the administrator.

Planning Board & Public Streets

- Approves Subdivision Roads 674:36, II (e)
- Approves Site Plan Roads RSA 674:44, II, (d) (e) (f)
- Establishes Road Construction Standards
- Establishes minimum completion before occupancy 676:12, V
- Imposes performance bonding, 674:36, III

Jurisdiction - Existing Roads

- Whether a particular road has been dedicated as a public way and whether landowners have a right to use a particular portion of a disputed roadway is a question of law and is not a matter which falls within the jurisdiction of the planning board
- While the planning board has certain jurisdiction over off-site improvements and obligations necessitated by a proposed development, it does not have the power to accept roads. That power rests with the town. 15 Land Use Planning and Zoning § 26.03 (2021); Short v. Rye, 121 N.H. 415 (1980)

Driveways - RSA 236:13



- Planning Board or Governing Body authorized to approve driveway permits on town roads.
- Must adopt driveway regulations.
- Delegate permit issuance and enforcement to Road Agent or other designee.
- Continuing authority over any driveway even if no permit issued.
 - Property owner can be ordered to fix driveway that is hazard to traveling public or threat to road integrity.

Merged Lots - RSA 674:39-a

Merger of 2 or more *contiguous* preexisting approved or subdivided lots or parcels.

No public hearing or notice shall be required.

No new survey plat need be recorded,

Notice of the merger endorsed by the planning board recorded at registry of deeds.

If any lot is under a mortgage, lender must consent.

Off-site Exactions RSA 674:21, V (j)

- No impact fee ordinance adoption necessary to impose exactions as condition of Planning Board approval
- Improvements that are necessitated by a development located outside the project boundaries
- Subject to rational nexus test
- Limited to highway, drainage, and sewer and water upgrades pertinent to that development

Scenic Roads

- Any work done in road designated scenic cannot involve the removal of trees over a certain circumference or the removal of stonewalls, except with the prior written consent of the planning board.
- Before acting on an application under the scenic road statute, the planning board must hold a duly advertised public hearing.
- It is implied that when exercising its scenic road jurisdiction that the planning board will exercise its discretion consistent with the purposes of the road's scenic designation. 15 Land Use Planning and Zoning § 31.06 (2021)



For attending our Workshop!

NHMA'S MISSION

Through the collective power of cities and towns, NHMA promotes effective municipal government by providing education, training, advocacy and legal services.

legalinquries@nhmunicipal.org 603.224.7447 www.nhmunicipal.org





Questions?



40

Question and Answer Section

• If you would like to ask a question, please type your question in the Chat box.

For the phone, hit *5 to raise your hand and when we call your number hit *6 to unmute and ask your question Open Chat box

冎

...

15:05

2022 OPD Spring Planning & Zoning Conference

Ξ

එ

Raise/lower hand

eave



 All Conference Session slides and recordings will be available next week <u>online</u> on our webpage

Feedback Encouraged!

• Please fill our survey that can be found at link below

Click Here for Feedback Survey